IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 29739 of 1995

Between:

1 Satyavathi, W/o.Chella Thirupathi Reddy,
R/o.11-1-778/5/4, Chilakalguda,
Secunderabad.
2 Ch.Tirupathi Reddy, S/o.Venkat Narsi Reddy,
H.No.11-1-778/5/4, Chilakalguda,
Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Muncipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Hyderabad,

Rep. by Commissioner, Hyderabad.
2 Special Officer and Competent Authority,

Urban Land Ceiling Office, Hyderabad.
3 Sub-Registrar of Marredpally, Secunderabad.
4 Smt. Vimala Naidu,
H.No.11-1-808/11/3, Chinta Bavi,
Chilakalguda, Secunderabad.
5 Nirmala Bai, W/o.Tukaram,

H.No.11-1-779/2, Chinta Bavi,

Chilakalguda, Secunderabad.

6 T.Yellamma, W/o.Rama Narsaiah,
R/o.N.No.11-1-779/3, Chinta Bavi,
Chilakalguda, Secunderabad.
7 Smt. Khateejabee, W/o.Syed Kaja Miya,
H.No.11-1-779/1, Chinta Bavi,

Chilkalguda, Secunderabad.
8 K.C. Yadagiri, S/o.Chennaiah,
H.No.11-1-792, Chinta Bavi,

Chilakalguda, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or any other order in the nature of Writ more appropriately in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the first three respondents to do everything necessary under the Urban Land Ceiling Act and Hyderabad Muncipal Corporation Act to give necessary exemptions and permission to the petitioner to reconstruct H.No.11-1-791/3.

Counsel for Petitioners: MR. R.V.KAMESHWARAN (Not present)

Counsel for Respondent No.1: MR. K.N.JWALA (STANDING COUNSEL FOR MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF HYDERABAD, SECUNDERABAD AREA)

Counsel for Respondents 2 and 3: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for Respondents 4 to 8: MR. PALIVELA SATYARAJABABU

The Court made the following ORDER:

Yesterday, when the matter is taken up in the forenoon, none appeared for petitioners nor any representation made. Even in the afternoon, none appeared for petitioners. Hence, the matter was directed to be listed today under caption "for dismissal".

Today also, none appeared for petitioners though the matter is listed for dismissal.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Dated: 26th October, 2004.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,

Hyderabad.

2. The Special Officer and Competent Authority,

Urban Land Ceiling Office, Hyderabad.

3. The Sub-Registrar, Marredpally, Secunderabad.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Land Acquisition,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

5. Two CD copies.